



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/497,107 02/03/2000		02/03/2000	Jayne Brady	10457ROUS03U	7103	
626	7590	06/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		RKS LIMITED	PATEL	PATEL, AJIT		
P. O. BOX 3 OTTAWA,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CANADA				2664	13	
				DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Amplicat	an Na	Annlicantic				
		Applicat		Applicant(s)				
		09/497,1	07	BRADY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		AJIT G.	PATEL	2664				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>13 May 2004</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
· 4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	it(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/497,107

Art Unit: 2664

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman (US Patent 6,011,802) in view of Nakamura et al. (US Patent 6,385,213) in further view of Chao et al (US Patent 4,893,306).

Referring to claim 12, Norman discloses assembly of STM-N frame comprising the steps of receiving an administrative unit AU-n comprising a payload and an AU-n pointer (co1.5; lines 8-36; Figure 2) and SDH interface that performs AU pointer and TU pointer translations are performed based on TU payload (col.15; lines 13-21) and a gateway converter that handles payload conversions between VTG and TUG. Norman does not expressly disclose the translation of AU payload into a TU payload in the gateway converter or SDH interface in hierarchical multiplexing and the reduction of AU pointers of very high-speed synchronous transport signal STM-N. However, Nakamura discloses the conversion of AU-n payload to TU-n payload including the corresponding pointers (co1.3; lines 23-29). Chao discloses multiplexing tributaries having different bit rates into a common bit stream resulted in the well-known hierarchical multiplexing plan with DS-1, DS-2, DS-3 signals (col.2, 28-34). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Norman's pointer translation with Nakamura conversion of AU-n payload to TU-n payload and

Page 3

Art Unit: 2664

Chao multiplexing in hierarchical order. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the AU to TU payload conversion techniques as Norman's converter which supports TUG (i.e. TU payload) as the combination allows for AU payload (converted to TU payload) to be handled by the gateway converter and multiplexing in hierarchical order is well known in the art with different rates into a common bit stream. The conversion capability further motivates one of ordinary skill in art to include lower hierarchy level (i.e. fine granularity) AU which is translated into TU that is subsequently hierarchy multiplexed into higher level AU which reduces AU pointers of very high speed synchronous transport signal STM-N. Higher level (i.e. coarse granularity) AU pointer is added to the higher level AU payload that forms a higher-level STM-N.

Referring to claims 13 and 14, Norman disclose all aspects of the claimed invention and further teaches the structure of STM-N (i.e. hierarchy capability inclusive of STM-4 STM-16, STM-64, and STM-256) by multiplexing multiples of AUG to achieve the desired STM level (co1.6; lines 61-62, Figure 3; references AUG and STM-N).

- 3. Claims 1-11 are allowed.
- Applicant's arguments filed 5/13/04 have been fully considered but they are not 4. persuasive. The applicant fails to argue with respect to claims 12-14.

Application/Control Number: 09/497,107

Art Unit: 2664

Page 4

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AJIT G. PATEL whose telephone number is 703-308-5347. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 703-305-4366. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AP

Afit Patel
Primary Examiner